ORIGINAL



25

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CAPACITATION COMMISSION

DOCKETED

2 **COMMISSIONERS**

GARY PIERCE

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES

2007 MAR 27 A II: 30

MAR 27 2007

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST CORPORATION'S APPLICATION FOR ARBITRATION PROCEDURE AND APPROVAL OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH

ANSWERPHONE, INC. PURSUANT TO

SECTION 252(B) OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED BY THE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996, AND

THE APPLICABLE STATE LAWS.

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-06-0175 DOCKET NO. T-02556A-06-0175 DOCKET NO. T-03693A-06-0175

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 17, 2006, Owest Corporation ("Qwest") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an Application for Arbitration Procedure and Approval of Interconnection Agreement pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act") for approval of an interconnection agreement between Qwest and each of eleven named wireless and paging carriers¹ to implement the ruling of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") In the Matter of Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, T-Mobile et al. Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Incumbent LEC Wireless Termination Tariffs, CC Docket 01-92, FCC 05-42 (Rel. Feb. 24, 2006) ("T-Mobile Order").

On May 9, 2006, Owest filed its Third Amendment to its Application for Arbitration Procedure and Approval of Interconnection Agreement, deleting Nextel West Corp. and Answerphone, Inc. ("Answerphone"), stating that "Nextel and Answerphone have discontinued their Type 1 Paging facilities in Arizona." Accordingly, by Procedural Order issued on May 24, 2006, Nextel and Answerphone were dismissed from the proceeding.

26

27

28

¹ Owest's Application for Arbitration Procedure and Approval of Interconnection Agreement originally named eleven non-petitioning parties: Azcom Paging, Inc.; Smith Bagley, Inc.; Interstate Wireless, Inc. dba Handy Page; Answerphone, Inc.; Star Page, Inc.; Glen Canyon Communications, Inc.; Nextel West Corp.; Western Wireless Corporation; Tele-Page, Inc.; Westsky Wireless, LLC; and Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.

On October 20, 2006, the Commission issued Decision No. 68993, resolving the only remaining issue between the sole remaining parties to the docket, Qwest and Handy Page. Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") participated in the approval of the interconnection agreement between Qwest and Handy Page.

On October 25, 2006, Qwest filed a Motion to Re-Open Arbitration with Respect to Answerphone, Inc. Qwest had discovered that since the dismissal of Answerphone from this proceeding, "Answerphone continues to operate as a wireless provider of paging services, and has continuously been interconnected with Qwest throughout the relevant time periods of this proceeding."

By Procedural Order dated November 3, 2006, Answerphone was ordered to file a response to Qwest's Motion to Re-Open by November 16, 2006 and was put on notice that if it failed to file a response by the designated deadline, Qwest's Motion to Re-open would be considered granted and the arbitration for interconnection agreement would be re-opened.

Answerphone did not file a Response and pursuant to the terms of the November 3, 2006 Procedural Order, the arbitration has been reopened with respect to Answerphone.

On February 22, 2007, Qwest filed a Motion for Arbitration Order adopting Interconnection Agreement. Qwest seeks Commission approval of the agreement attached as Exhibit B to its Arbitration Petition as an interconnection agreement between Qwest and Answerphone, as written. Qwest avers that the agreement it asks the Commission to adopt is identical to the agreements that eleven other carriers have executed, all of which have been filed with the Commission for approval under Section 252 of the Act.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Answerphone shall file a response to Qwest's Motion for Adoption of Arbitration Order no later than April 9, 2007. If Answerphone fails to file a response by April 9, 2007, Qwest's Motion may be granted and the Interconnection Agreement attached as Exhibit B to the Petition may be approved as submitted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff shall file any response or comments, including procedural recommendations, to Qwest's Motion for Arbitration Order no later than April 16, 2007.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Arbitrator may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any

1	portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.
2	Dated this 26 to day of March, 2007
3	
4	$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$
5	JANE L'RODDA
6	ARBITRATOR
7	
8	
9	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this <u>26+44</u> day of March, 2007 to:
10	Norman Curtright
11	Qwest Corporation 20 E. Thomas Rd., 16 th Floor
12	Phoenix, AZ 85012
13	Answerphone Inc. ATTN: D. Nady 1009 W. 16 th St.
14	Yuma AZ 85364
15	SENT CERTIFIED, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
16	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
17	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
18	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
19	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
20	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
21	Phoenix, AZ 85007
22	
23	By: Juanite Jone
24	Secretary to Jane Rodda
25	
26	
27	
28	